

1. General Discussion

1.1. Background

1.1.1. History

The recommended air sampling procedure for ethylamine in the OSHA Field Operations Manual is collection in midget impingers containing sulfuric acid (Ref. 5.1.). The recommended air sampling procedure in NIOSH Methods 221 and S144 (Refs. 5.2. and 5.3.) requires silica gel sampling tubes. In all of these methods, the recommended analysis is done by gas chromatography. The shortcomings of these methods include: impingers are inconvenient to use in the field, stability problems appear to exist for aliphatic amines adsorbed on silica gel, and the nature of volatile aliphatic amines results in several disadvantages when analyzed by gas chromatography (peak tailing, ghosting, and column decomposition from injection of aqueous solutions). (Ref. 5.4.) These problems do not exist for this procedure where a stable NBD chloride derivative is formed on NBD chloride coated XAD-7.

The derivative is extracted with 5% (w/v) NBD chloride in tetrahydrofuran (THF) and analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography. A similar procedure has been successfully evaluated for the collection and analysis of dimethylamine (OSHA Method 34) (Ref. 5.4.) and may be applicable for collection and analysis of other volatile aliphatic amines.

This procedure differs from OSHA Method 34 in that the analytical procedure had to be modified. It was found that a higher yield of derivative could be obtained if samples were desorbed and standards were prepared in a 5% solution of NBD chloride in tetrahydrofuran (THF) instead of a 0.4% solution as specified in the dimethylamine method. Also, it was found that the samples and standards must be heated at 60°C in a hot water bath for 2.5 h to insure a complete reaction. These two modifications did not affect the yield for the dimethylamine derivative. Thus, samples for these two analytes could be analyzed simultaneously with this procedure.

1.1.2. Toxic effects

(This section is quoted directly from "Occupational Health Guidelines for Chemical Hazards" (Ref. 5.5.) and is for information only and should not be taken as the basis of OSHA policy).

"Ethylamine vapor is a primary irritant to mucous membranes, eyes, and skin. Exposure to 8000 ppm for 4 h was lethal to rats. Rabbits survived exposures to 50 ppm daily for 6 weeks but showed pulmonary irritation and some myocardial degeneration; corneal damage was observed after 2 weeks of exposure. In the rabbit eye, 1 drop of a 70% solution of ethylamine caused immediate, severe irritation. Eye irritation and corneal edema in humans have been reported from industrial exposure. A 70% solution of the base dropped on the skin of guinea pigs caused prompt skin burns leading to necrosis; when held in contact with guinea pig skin for 24 h there was severe skin irritation with extensive necrosis and deep scarring."

1.1.3. Potential workplace exposure

Following are some common operations in which exposure to ethylamine may occur as reported in "Occupational Health Guidelines for Chemical Hazards." (Ref. 5.5.)

Ethylamine can be used:

in synthesis of agricultural chemicals for herbicides; as a dyestuff intermediate; as a solvent for dyes, resins, and oils; as a catalyst for polyurethane foams; in pharmaceuticals, emulsifying agents; as a vulcanization accelerator for sulfur-cured rubbers.

as a stabilizer for rubber latex; as a catalyst for curing epoxy resins.

in synthesis of dimethylolethyltriazone, a wash and wear agent for cotton fabrics; in synthesis of 1,3-diethylthiourea, a corrosion inhibitor.

in synthesis of chemical intermediates and solvents; as plasticizers and in refining of lubricating oils.

as a selective solvent in refining petroleum and vegetable oils.

in synthesis of alkyl isocyanates for intermediates in manufacture of organic products such as pharmaceuticals and resins.

in synthesis of rhodamine dyes.

as a deflocculating agent in ceramics industry; in manufacture of detergents.

1.1.4. Physical properties (Ref. 5.5.)

molecular weight: boiling point (760 mmHg): color: specific gravity (water = 1):	45.1 16.7°C colorless liquid or gas
vapor pressure: flash point:	1.18 atmospheres at 20°C <-18°C (closed cup)
odor: flammable limits in air.	ammonia-like
% by volume: autoignition temperature: molecular formula:	lower: 3.5; upper: 14.0 $385^{\circ}C$ $C_{2}H_{5}NH_{2}$

- 1.2. Limit defining parameters (The air concentrations listed throughout this method are based on an air sample volume of 10 L and a desorption volume of 2.0 mL.)
 - 1.2.1. Detection limit of the analytical procedure

The detection limit of the analytical procedure is 1.8 ng per injection. This is the amount of ethylamine which will give a peak whose height is approximately 5 times baseline noise. (Section 4.1.)

1.2.2. Detection limit of the overall procedure

The detection limit of the overall procedure is 0.29 μ g per sample (16 ppb or 29 μ g/m³). This is the calculated amount of ethylamine spiked on the sampler which allows recovery of an amount of ethylamine equivalent to the detection limit of the analytical procedure. (Section 4.2.)

1.2.3. Reliable quantitation limit

The reliable quantitation limit is 0.29 μ g per sample (16 ppb or 29 μ g/m³). This is the smallest amount of analyte which can be quantitated within the requirements of at least 75% recovery and a precision (±1.96 SD) of ±25% or better. (Section 4.2.)

The reliable quantitation limit and detection limits reported in the method are based upon optimization of the instrument for the smallest possible amount of analyte. When the target concentration of an analyte is exceptionally higher than these limits, they may not be attainable at the routine operating parameters.

1.2.4. Sensitivity

The sensitivity of the analytical procedure over the concentration range of 5 to 20 ppm is 1430 area counts per μ g ethylamine/mL. The sensitivity is determined by the slope of the calibration curve. (Section 4.3.) The sensitivity will vary somewhat with the particular instrument used in the analysis.

1.2.5. Recovery

The recovery of analyte from the collection medium during storage must be 75% or greater. The recovery of ethylamine from samples used in a 15-day storage test remained above 87% when samples were stored at ambient or refrigerated temperatures. (Section 4.4.)

1.2.6. Precision (analytical method only)

The pooled coefficient of variation obtained from replicate determinations of analytical standards equivalent to 5, 10, and 20 ppm is 0.004. (Section 4.3.)

1.2.7. Precision (overall procedure)

The overall procedure must provide results at the target concentration that are $\pm 25\%$ or better at the 95% confidence level. The precision at the 95% confidence level for a 15-day storage test is $\pm 15.6\%$. This includes an additional $\pm 5\%$ for sampling error. (Section 4.4.)

1.2.8. Reproducibility

Six samples generated on a vapor generator and a draft copy of this procedure were given to a chemist unassociated with this work. The six samples (which had been stored 21 days) were analyzed and the results reported. The average recovery was 97.9% with a standard deviation of 2.3%. (Section 4.5.)

1.3. Advantages

- 1.3.1. The solid sorbent tube provides a convenient method for sampling.
- 1.3.2. Ethylamine is analyzed as a derivative which is specific, stable, and easier to quantitate than the free amine.
- 1.3.3. The analysis is rapid, sensitive, and precise.
- 1.3.4. This method is applicable to dimethylamine and possibly other volatile aliphatic amines.

1.4. Disadvantages

- 1.4.1. The method has not been field tested.
- 1.4.2. Sampling tubes (XAD-7 coated with 10% NBD chloride) are not commercially available. As of 2010, the sampling tubes are available from SKC, Inc. as catalog no. 226-96.

2. Sampling Procedure

- 2.1. Apparatus
 - 2.1.1. Samples are collected by use of a personal sampling pump that can be calibrated to within $\pm 5\%$ of the recommended flow rate with the sampling tube in line.
 - 2.1.2. Samples are collected on solid sorbent sampling tubes containing XAD-7 coated with 10% NBD chloride by weight. The tube consists of two sections of coated XAD-7 separated by a glass wool plug. The front section contains 80 mg of coated sorbent and the back section, 40 mg. The sections are held in place with glass wool plugs in a glass tube 4-mm i.d. × 70-mm length.

The coated XAD-7 is prepared by rinsing the 20/50 mesh resin several times with methyl alcohol to remove fines. The resin is extracted for 24 h with methyl alcohol in a Soxhlet Extractor and dried by vacuum. The dried resin is then coated with 10% NBD chloride by weight using methylene chloride as a solvent. The solvent is removed by rotary evaporation.

2.2. Reagents

None required

- 2.3. Technique
 - 2.3.1. Connect the sampling tube to the sampling pump with flexible tubing. Air being sampled should not pass through any hose or tubing before entering the sampling tube.
 - 2.3.2. Place the sampling tube vertically in the employees' breathing zone.

- 2.3.3. Seal the tubes immediately after sampling with plastic caps.
- 2.3.4. Submit at least one blank for each sample set. The blank should be handled in the same manner as samples, except no air is drawn through it.
- 2.3.5. Record sample volume (in liters of air) for each sample, along with any potential interferences.
- 2.3.6. Ship any bulk sample(s) in a separate container(s) from the air samples.
- 2.4. Breakthrough

The average 5% breakthrough volume from a test atmosphere (air at about 80% relative humidity) containing 21.7 ppm (40.0 mg/m³) ethylamine was determined to be 22.4 L. This corresponds to loading of 0.896 mg on the sampling tube. The sampling rate was approximately 0.2 L/min and the test atmosphere was at 23.5°C and 637.5 mm Hg. (Section 4.6.)

2.5. Desorption efficiency

The desorption efficiency of ethylamine from spiked sample tubes was 82.7% over the range of 5 to 20 ppm. (Section 4.7.)

- 2.6. Recommended air volume and sampling rate
 - 2.6.1. The recommended air volume is 10 L.
 - 2.6.2. The recommended sampling rate is 0.2 L/min.
- 2.7. Interferences
 - 2.7.1. An interference study was performed in which 10-L air samples of a test atmosphere containing approximately 10 ppm each of ethylamine, methylamine, and dimethylamine were collected. The test atmosphere was at about 80% relative humidity. There was no difference in the amount of ethylamine derivative recovered whether the other amines were present or not.
 - 2.7.2. It is not known if any compound(s) will interfere with the collection of ethylamine on coated XAD-7 tubes.
 - 2.7.3. Suspected interferences should be reported to the laboratory with submitted samples.
- 2.8. Safety precautions
 - 2.8.1. Attach the sampling equipment to the employee so that it will not interfere with work performance or safety.
 - 2.8.2. Follow all safety procedures that apply to the work area being sampled.
- 3. Analytical Procedure
 - 3.1. Apparatus (The particular apparatus used for this study can be found in Figure 4.3.)
 - 3.1.1. High-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) equipped with a fluorescence and/or visible detector.
 - 3.1.2. An HPLC column capable of separating the ethylamine derivative from NBD chloride and any interferences. A Radial CN column was used in this study in the normal phase since the NBD chloride derivatives fluoresce stronger in nonaqueous solvent systems. Reduced sensitivities with fluorescence detection will be obtained with the use of an aqueous reverse phase column system.
 - 3.1.3. An electronic integrator or some other suitable method of measuring peak areas or heights.
 - 3.1.4. A mechanical shaker.
 - 3.1.5. A hot water bath.

- 3.1.6. Volumetric flasks for preparing standards and making dilutions.
- 3.1.7. Pipets and syringes for preparing standards, making dilutions, and dispensing reagents.
- 3.1.8. Small vials with Teflon-lined caps, capable of holding 3 mL.

3.2. Reagents

- 3.2.1. HPLC grade isopropanol and isooctane.
- 3.2.2. Reagent grade tetrahydrofuran. (THF)
- 3.2.3. Reagent grade sodium bicarbonate.
- 3.2.4. Ethylamine solution in water of known concentration or ethylamine gas.
- 3.2.5. Reagent grade NBD chloride (7-chloro-4-nitrobenzo-2-oxa1,3-diazole).
- 3.2.6. Desorption reagent: 5 g of NBD chloride per 100 mL of THF.
- 3.3. Standard preparation
 - 3.3.1. Prepare a stock standard of ethylamine by diluting a known volume of ethylamine with THF.
 - 3.3.2. Working standards are prepared by injecting microliter amounts of the stock standard into 2.0 mL of desorption reagent in a small vial. Example: If a 5-μL aliquot of a stock standard at a concentration of 37 μg/μL is injected into a vial containing 2.0 mL of NBD chloride solution, the working standard is equal to 185 μg/sample (5 × 37) if the samples are desorbed with 2.0 mL. For a 10-L air sample this is equivalent to 18.5 mg/m³, uncorrected for desorption efficiency.
 - 3.3.3. Add approximately 25 mg of solid sodium bicarbonate to each vial and seal with Teflon-lined caps. (This is easily done by using the large end of a standard size disposable dropping pipette as a spatula). The standards are shaken for 0.5 h and then heated for 2.5 h at 60°C in a water bath. Allow standards to cool to room temperature before analyzing.
- 3.4. Sample preparation
 - 3.4.1. Transfer each section of the sample to separate vials. The glass wool plugs must be added to the vials if they contain entrapped XAD-7 beads. The glass tube is discarded.
 - 3.4.2. Add 2.0 mL of the desorption reagent.
 - 3.4.3. Add approximately 25 mg of solid sodium bicarbonate to each vial.
 - 3.4.4. Seal the vials with Teflon-lined caps and shake in a horizontal position for 0.5 h. The vials should be positioned parallel to the shaker's movement.
 - 3.4.5. Heat the vials for 2.5 h in a hot water bath at 60°C. Cool to room temperature before analyzing.

3.5. Analysis

3.5.1. HPLC conditions

fluorescence detector: 465 nm excitation 525 nm emission injection size: 15 µL column: Waters Radial CN solvent: isooctane:isopropanol, 80:20 at 2 mL/min retention time of ethylamine derivative: 3.3 min alternate detector: Visible at 465 nm chromatograms: Section 4.8.

- 3.5.2. Peak areas (or heights) are measured by an integrator or other suitable means.
- 3.5.3. A calibration curve is constructed by plotting peak areas (or heights) of standard injections versus µg per sample. Sample concentrations must be bracketed by standards.

3.6. Interferences

- 3.6.1. Any compound that has the same general retention time as the ethylamine derivative and responds with the detector used is an interference. Possible interferences should be reported to the laboratory with submitted samples by the industrial hygienist. The derivatives of methylamine, dimethylamine, and diethylamine can be separated from the ethylamine derivative.
- 3.6.2. HPLC parameters (i.e. solvent composition, column, detector, etc.) may be changed to circumvent interferences.
- 3.6.3. Retention time on a single column is not considered proof of chemical identity. Samples over the PEL should be confirmed by GC/MS or other suitable means.
- 3.7. Calculations

The ethylamine concentration is obtained from the calibration curve in terms of micrograms per sample. The air concentration for samples is calculated using the following formulae. If any

mg _	(blan	k c	orre	ected	micrograms per	sample)
m ³	(liters	of	air	sam	oled)(desorption	efficiency)

ethylamine derivative is found on the backup section, it is added to the amount found on the front section. The total amount is then corrected by subtracting the

total amount found in the blank.

 $ppm = (mg/m^3)(24.46)/(45.1) = (mg/m^3)(0.542)$

where 24.46 = molar volume (liters) at 25°C and 760 mm Hg 45.1 = molecular weight of ethylamine

- 3.8. Safety precautions
 - 3.8.1. Avoid skin contact and inhalation of all chemicals used, especially ethylamine and NBD chloride.
 - 3.8.2. Restrict the use of all chemicals to a fume hood if possible.
 - 3.8.3. Wear safety glasses and lab coat at all times while in the laboratory area.
- 4. Backup Data
 - 4.1. Detection limit of the analytical procedure

The detection limit of the analytical procedure is 1.8 ng/injection. This was determined by making a 15- μ L injection of a 0.123 μ g/mL standard. This standard is based on the weight of ethylamine added to make the standard. Shown in Figure 4.1. is a chromatogram obtained from a Schoeffel FS 970 Fluorescence detector set at 0.02- μ A range, 4.75 sensitivity, and 6-s time constant. The recorder was set at 0.2 cm/min and 10-mV full scale.

4.2. Detection limit of the overall procedure and reliable quantitation limits.

Samples were prepared by spiking (liquid injection) the coated XAD-7 with an amount of ethylamine equivalent to the analytical detection limit (0.246 μ g/sample). The samples were allowed to sit overnight before being extracted and analyzed.

	Detection L and Relia	imit of the Overall Procedure ble Quantitation Limit Data
%	recovery	statistics
	87.0 82.6 87.0	$\overline{X} = 85.5$ SD = 2.9

Table 4.2.

The detection limit of the overall procedure and the reliable quantitation limit is:

 $\frac{0.246 \ \mu\text{g/sample}}{0.855} = 0.29 \ \mu\text{g/sample}$ or for a 10-L air sample: 0.29 \ \mu\text{g}/10 \ L = 29 \ \mu\text{g}/m^3 or (29 \ \mu\text{g}/m^3)(24.46)/45.1 = 16 \ \text{ppb}

4.3. Sensitivity and precision

The sensitivity and precision of the analytical procedure were obtained from multiple injections of analytical standards. This data is given in Table 4.3. and shown graphically in Figure 4.3.

0.5x	TX	2×
46.2	92.4	184.8
67002	125065	169262
66134	135010	202303
664.09	13/005	201033
0.0408	134995	202413
66540	132139	262819
66339	135684	263364
66014	136740	262969
66406.2	135572	262627
347.9	678.1	537.2
0.0052	0.0050	0.0020
	46.2 67002 66134 66408 66540 66339 66014 66406.2 347.9 0.0052	46.2 92.4 67002 135865 66134 135010 66408 134995 66540 135139 66339 135684 66014 136740 66406.2 135572 347.9 678.1 0.0052 0.0050

4.4. Recovery and storage data

Storage samples were generated from a test atmosphere (air) containing 11.1 ppm ethylamine at approximately 80% relative humidity, 24°C, and 640 mm Hg. Each sample was generated by sampling the test atmosphere at approximately 0.2 L/min for 50 min, resulting in a sample volume of about 10 L. An amount of coated XAD-7 equivalent to the front section of a standard adsorbent tube (about 80 mg) was used for each sample. After sampling, the adsorbent was transferred to separate WISP vials, capped, and stored. Six samples were extracted and analyzed immediately after generation, 15 were stored in a closed drawer at ambient temperature, and 15 were stored under refrigeration at 0°C. The results of these data are shown graphically in Figures 4.4.1. and 4.4.2.

	S	Storage	Tests			<u>ر</u> ،
storage time % recovery						
(days)	(refrigerated)		ted)	(ambient)		
0	99.5	85.4	96.4	99.5	85.4	96.4
0	84.2	96.0	91.1	84.2	96.0	91.1
2	93.6	87.8	86.5	86.4	89.0	89.5
7	98.2	1	91.9	82.6	90.1	90.0
9	80.6	84.3	89.7	87.1	80.0	90.0
13	102.2	97.6	91.8	84.1	¹	92.1
15	88.9	82.4	88.2	86.6	93.3	86.7

Table 4.4.

¹Samples lost; orifice plugged during sampling.

4.5. Reproducibility

Six ethylamine samples were generated on the vapor generator by sampling from an air stream containing 11.1 ppm ethylamine for 50 min at approximately 0.2 L/min. The sample stream was at 24.8°C, 640 mm Hg, and approximately 80% relative humidity. The samples were stored for 21 days at room temperature before being analyzed.

sample no.	mg found	mg expected	% found
1	0.2047	0.2115	96.8
2	0.1060	0.2368	(44.8)
3	0.2020	0.2097	96.3
4	0.2123	0.2150	98.7
5	0.2097	0.2065	101.5
6	0.2202	0.2292	96.1
7 (blan	k) N.D.	0.00	
		ž	z = 97.9
		SI	= 2.3

sampling, resulting in a lower sampling rate.

4.6. Breakthrough

The breakthrough volume was determined from a test atmosphere containing 21.7 ppm (40.0 mg/m³) ethylamine. The sampling tube contained only the front section (about 80 mg) of adsorbent. A backup tube was connected downstream from the sampling tube. This backup tube was changed periodically and analyzed to determine the amount of ethylamine breaking through the sampling tube. The average breakthrough volume for three separate determinations was 22.4 L. This corresponds to an average loading of 0.896 mg of ethylamine on the sample tube when 5% breakthrough occurs. The test atmosphere was at 23.5°C, 637.5 mm Hg, and about 80% relative humidity. Given in Table 4.6. and shown graphically in Figure 4.6. is the results from one of the breakthrough determinations. The 5% breakthrough volume was 19.9 L for this determination.

minutori	litors	mg found?	% brooktbrough
minutes	inters	ing round-	% Dreaktintough
30	5.9	0.0	0.0
60	11.81	8.5	1.8
90	17.71	29.5	4.2
131	25.80	70.6	6.8

¹time backup tube was changed

²cumulative amounts

4.7. Desorption efficiency

Desorption efficiencies were determined by injecting known amounts of analyte onto coated XAD-7, with analysis the next day.

Table 4.7. Desorption Efficiency						
x target conc.	0.5x	1×	2x			
µg/sample	92.4	184.8	369.6			
desorption efficiency, %	86.5 84.6 85.6 83.4 83.4 85.4	82.7 82.8 79.8 82.2 81.6 83.7	80.4 80.4 lost lost 80.1 80.7			
x	84.8	82.1	80.4			
X̄ = 82.7						

4.8. Chromatograms

Chromatograms of an ethylamine standard are shown in Figure 4.8. The chromatograms are from a 15- μ L injection of a 0.185 mg ethylamine per sample standard. The fluorescence and visible detectors were connected in series to give essentially simultaneous chromatograms. The response shown is standardized to keep the peaks about 90% full scale. This figure should not be interpreted to determine the relative response of each detector.



Figure 4.1. Chromatogram of the analytical detection limit.



Figure 4.3. Calibration curve.



Figure 4.4.1. Refrigerated storage samples.



Figure 4.4.2. Ambient storage samples.



Figure 4.6. Breakthrough curve.



Figure 4.8. Chromatograms of a standard.

5. References

- 5.1. "Industrial Hygiene Field Operation Manual", OSHA Instruction CPL 2-2.20, Office of Field Coordination, 1979.
- 5.2. "NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods", Vol. 1, 2nd Edition, April 1977, USDHEW, PHS, CDC, NIOSH, DHEW (NIOSH) Publication No. 77-157-A, Method P&CAM 221.
- 5.3. "NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods", Vol. 3, 2nd Edition, April 1977, USDHEW, PHS, CDC, NIOSH, DHEW (NIOSH) Publication No. 77-157-C, Method S144.
- 5.4. Elskamp, C.J., Dimethylamine (Method 34, Organic Methods Evaluation Branch, OSHA Analytical Laboratory, Salt Lake City, Utah). Unpublished (2-82).
- 5.5. "Occupational Health Guidelines for Chemical Hazards", NIOSH/OSHA, Jan. 1981, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 81-123.