

Family of one	Family of two	Family of three	Family of four	Family of five	Family of six
13,221	21,671	29,745	36,720	43,331	50,684
13,476	22,091	30,318	37,425	44,169	51,648
13,604	22,292	30,603	37,771	44,572	52,130
13,619	22,328	30,641	37,829	44,646	52,215
13,792	22,598	31,017	38,293	45,196	52,858
14,469	23,706	32,542	40,170	47,411	55,439
14,898	24,420	33,523	41,374	48,830	57,096
15,049	24,664	33,850	41,787	49,317	57,670
15,068	24,694	33,905	41,849	49,390	57,764
15,176	24,869	34,144	42,151	49,740	58,177
15,258	25,003	34,329	42,378	50,008	58,490
15,745	25,800	35,410	43,714	51,588	60,335
15,836	25,951	35,622	43,968	51,891	60,683
15,976	26,170	35,930	44,351	52,340	61,208
15,982	26,195	35,955	44,387	52,377	61,265
16,070	26,336	36,160	44,629	52,670	61,591
16,307	26,724	36,682	45,279	53,439	62,497
16,438	26,927	36,971	45,635	53,855	62,986
17,235	28,235	38,767	47,851	56,470	66,046
17,666	28,949	39,734	49,048	57,886	67,688
18,044	29,560	40,585	50,100	59,121	69,138
18,569	30,419	41,765	51,552	60,836	71,152
18,900	30,965	42,508	52,479	61,928	72,431
19,261	31,564	43,327	53,485	63,116	73,813

**Eric Seleznow,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2014-06748 Filed 3-26-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FT-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2013-0012]

#### Revision of OSHA's Policy for Incorporating New Test Standards Into the List of Appropriate NRTL Program Test Standards

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice of final decision.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, OSHA revises its existing policies regarding the incorporation of new test standards into the Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL) Program's list of appropriate test standards and into NRTLs' scopes of recognition; and incorporates 72 test standards into the NRTL Program's list of appropriate test standards.

**DATES:** The actions contained in this notice will become effective on March 27, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Information regarding this notice is available from the following sources:

*Press inquiries:* Contact Mr. Frank Meilinger, Director, OSHA Office of Communications, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room N-3647, Washington, DC 20210;

telephone: (202) 693-1999; email: [meilinger.francis2@dol.gov](mailto:meilinger.francis2@dol.gov).

*General and technical information:* Contact Mr. David Johnson, Director, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, Directorate of Technical Support and Emergency Management, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room N-3655, Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-2110; email: [johnson.david.w@dol.gov](mailto:johnson.david.w@dol.gov).

*Copies of this Federal Register notice:* Electronic copies of this **Federal Register** notice are available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. This **Federal Register** notice, as well as other relevant information, is also available on OSHA's Web page at <http://www.osha.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

OSHA recognizes organizations that provide product-safety testing and certification services to manufacturers. These organizations perform testing and certification, for purposes of the NRTL Program, to U.S. consensus-based product-safety test standards. OSHA does not develop or issue these test standards, but generally relies on U.S. standards-development organizations (SDOs) accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). The products covered by the NRTL Program consist of those items for which OSHA safety standards require "certification" by an NRTL. The requirements affect electrical products and 38 other types of products.

OSHA recognition of an organization as an NRTL signifies that the organization meets the legal requirements in OSHA regulations at 29 CFR 1910.7 and the NRTL Program policies in CPL 1-0.3, "NRTL Program Policies, Procedures, and Guidelines," December 2, 1999 ("Directive"). Recognition is an acknowledgement by OSHA that the NRTL has the capabilities to perform independent safety testing and certification of the specific products covered within the NRTL's scope of recognition. Recognition of an NRTL by OSHA also allows employers to use products certified by that NRTL to meet those OSHA standards that require product testing and certification (29 CFR 1910.7(a)).

An NRTL's scope of recognition consists, in part, of specific test standard(s) approved by OSHA for use by the NRTL. Pursuant to OSHA regulations, the NRTL must first request to have a test standard included in its scope of recognition. OSHA will grant the NRTL's request only if the NRTL has the capability to test and examine equipment<sup>1</sup> and materials for workplace safety purposes and to determine conformance with the test standard for each relevant item of equipment or material that it lists, labels, or accepts (29 CFR 1910.7(b)(1)). Capability includes proper testing equipment and facilities, trained staff, written testing procedures, calibration programs, and quality-control programs. An

<sup>1</sup>In this notice, OSHA uses the terms "equipment" and "product" or "products" interchangeably.

organization's recognition as an NRTL is, therefore, not for products, but for appropriate test standards covering a type of product(s) (29 CFR 1910.7(b)(1)).

For OSHA to consider a test standard appropriate, the test standard must be current and specify the safety requirements for a specific type of product(s) (29 CFR 1910.7(c)). A test standard withdrawn by an SDO is no longer considered an appropriate test standard (Directive, App. C.XIV.B). It is OSHA's policy to remove acceptance of withdrawn test standards by issuing a correction notice in the **Federal Register** for all NRTLs recognized for the withdrawn test standards. However, OSHA will recognize an NRTL for an appropriate replacement test standard if the NRTL has the requisite testing and evaluation capability for implementing the replacement test standard.

One method that NRTLs may use to show such capability involves an analysis to determine whether any testing and evaluation requirements of existing test standards in an NRTL's scope are comparable (i.e., are completely or substantially identical) to the requirements in the replacement test standard. If OSHA's analysis shows the replacement test standard does not require additional or different technical capability than an existing test standard, the replacement test standard is comparable to the existing test standard, and OSHA can add the replacement test standard to affected NRTLs' scopes of recognition.

If OSHA's analysis shows the replacement test standard requires an additional or different technical capability than any existing test standard, then the replacement test standard is not comparable to any existing test standard. In such cases (i.e., when test standards are not comparable), each affected NRTL that seeks to have OSHA add the replacement test standard to the NRTL's scope of recognition must provide information to OSHA that demonstrates its testing and evaluation capability to implement that standard.

In a November 25, 2013, **Federal Register** notice (78 FR 70349), OSHA proposed: (1) Revising its existing policies regarding the incorporation of new test standards into the NRTL Program's list of appropriate test standards and into NRTLs' scopes of recognition; and (2) incorporating new test standards into the NRTL Program's list of appropriate test standards. The comment period for the public to submit comments on these proposed actions ended on December 26, 2013.

OSHA received four comments filed in a timely manner (OSHA-2013-0012-

0006, OSHA-2013-0012-0007, OSHA-2013-0012-0008, OSHA-2013-0012-0009), and one comment filed after the comment period closed (OSHA-2013-0012-0010), on its proposal. All comments are available for viewing at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) under docket number OSHA-2013-0012. In this final decision notice, OSHA addresses these comments and adopts its proposal with limited revision that reflects some commenters' concerns. OSHA describes the proposal, comments, and its final decision in more detail below.

## II. Final Decision Revising Existing OSHA Policy

### *A. Revision to Existing Policy for Incorporating New Test Standards Into the NRTL Program's List of Appropriate Test Standards*

In the November 25, 2013 **Federal Register** notice, OSHA proposed revising its existing policy regarding the incorporation of new test standards into the NRTL Program's list of appropriate test standards. Pursuant to OSHA's existing policy, OSHA incorporates test standards into the list of appropriate test standards only when OSHA processes an NRTL's application for recognition (either initial or expansion), or when OSHA incorporates into an NRTL's scope of recognition a comparable replacement test standard for a withdrawn test standard (Directive, Chapter 2; App. C.XIV.B). OSHA believes that the existing policy delays the acceptance process for valid test standards, and proposed revising the existing policy to expedite incorporation of new test standards into the NRTL Program's list of appropriate test standards and into NRTLs' scopes of recognition.

OSHA becomes aware of new test standards through various avenues. For example, OSHA becomes aware of new test standards by: (1) Monitoring notifications issued by certain SDOs; (2) reviewing applications by NRTLs or applicants seeking recognition to include a new test standard in their scopes of recognition; and (3) obtaining notification from manufacturers, manufacturing organizations, other government agencies, or other parties that a new test standard may be appropriate to add to its list of appropriate standards. Accordingly, OSHA proposed to expand the existing process whereby it incorporates new test standards into the NRTL Program's list of appropriate test standards. Under the proposed policy, OSHA would not only include new test standards in its list of appropriate test standards under the conditions described by its existing

policy, but would include new test standards in the list when OSHA determined that such test standards are appropriate to add to the list. OSHA may determine to include a new test standard in the list, for example, if the test standard is for a particular type of product that another test standard also covers, covers a type of product that no standard previously covered, or be otherwise new to the NRTL Program.

Under the proposed policy, OSHA first would make a preliminary determination that the new test standard is appropriate under the NRTL Program regulations (29 CFR 1910.7(c)). The Agency then would periodically issue a **Federal Register** notice proposing to include new test standards that it identifies as appropriate in its list of appropriate test standards. When an SDO withdraws test standards, OSHA also may propose in a **Federal Register** notice that new test standards replace withdrawn test standards in NRTLs' scopes of recognition. After OSHA publishes the preliminary determination in a **Federal Register** notice, it would give the public an opportunity to comment and, after reviewing these comments and other record evidence, would issue, in the **Federal Register**, a final determination.

No commenter objected to this proposed policy revision. The National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) and Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. (UL), expressed support for the proposed policy (OSHA-2013-0012-0008, OSHA-2013-0012-0009). Therefore, OSHA hereby revises the policy, as proposed.

NEMA asked that OSHA include the effective dates of test standards in its list of appropriate test standards (OSHA-2013-0012-0008). In explaining this comment, NEMA asserted that manufacturers and NRTLs use the time between the publication and effective dates of a test standard to become informed of the new requirements. While this might be the case, OSHA currently does not evaluate test standards with future effective dates for use in the NRTL Program. OSHA accepts a test standard as appropriate only after the effective date of the standard.

NEMA stated further that, in determining whether a test standard is appropriate, OSHA should "continue to rely primarily on U.S. standards developers accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI)," which "provides reasonable assurance that the standard is a good standard and likely to have wide use;" NEMA then stated that OSHA "should only consider standards developed by organizations

considered to be primary sources that are known to produce universally acceptable standards representing good design.”

OSHA considers the appropriateness of test standards on a case-by-case basis. OSHA notes, however, that the NRTL Program regulation constrains its discretion in this area; this regulation requires that, for OSHA to accept a test standard, the test standard must, at a minimum, “provide an adequate level of safety” (29 CFR 1910.7(d)). Also pursuant to the regulation, OSHA generally accepts test standards developed by SDOs accredited by ANSI (29 CFR 1910.7(c)). ANSI accreditation ensures that the SDO meets the criteria required in the regulation for OSHA to consider a test standard appropriate.<sup>2</sup>

#### *B. Revision to Policy for Expanding NRTLs’ Scopes of Recognition*

Under existing OSHA policy, an NRTL must apply for an expansion of recognition, pursuant to the procedures in 29 CFR 1910.7, App. A, if the NRTL wants OSHA to incorporate into its scope of recognition a replacement test standard that is not comparable to a test standard that OSHA is removing from that NRTL’s scope of recognition because, for example, an SDO withdrew the predecessor test standard. In the November 25, 2013, **Federal Register** notice, OSHA proposed modifying this policy to provide for an abbreviated recognition process that allows NRTLs currently recognized for the predecessor standard to submit to OSHA, in lieu of an application for expansion, only information that demonstrates that the NRTL has the capability to perform the testing and evaluation required in the areas of the replacement test standard that are not equivalent or comparable to the standard being replaced.

Therefore, under the new policy, when OSHA becomes aware of a replacement test standard that is not comparable to a test standard that

OSHA is removing from an NRTL’s scope of recognition, OSHA would invite that NRTL, via email or letter, to submit specified information that OSHA believes demonstrates the requisite testing and evaluation capability. OSHA would include, in the letter or email, a comparability table for the replacement test standard that details proposed substantive differences between the existing and replacement test standards that OSHA believes the NRTL must address for OSHA to recognize the NRTL for the replacement standard. OSHA would follow the procedures specified by 29 CFR 1910.7, App. A, in determining whether it should incorporate the replacement test standard into the affected NRTL’s scope of recognition. OSHA then would issue a preliminary determination in the **Federal Register**, provide the public an opportunity to comment on the preliminary determination, and, after reviewing the comments and other record evidence, issue a final determination in the **Federal Register** on whether it will incorporate the replacement test standard into the affected NRTL’s scope of recognition. In making a preliminary or final determination, OSHA also would follow other applicable procedures specified by 29 CFR 1910.7, App. A, such as requesting additional information, conducting appropriate on-site reviews, or initiating special reviews. OSHA believes the proposed policy would expedite the recognition process of replacement test standards issued by SDOs.

No commenter objected to the proposed policy revision. UL expressed support for the proposed revision (OSHA–2013–0012–0009). In its comments, UL asked that OSHA further revise its policy to allow the use of the proposed abbreviated recognition process for new test standards that have no relation to predecessor test standards currently in an NRTL’s scope of recognition (as opposed to replacement test standards that would replace predecessor test standards that OSHA is removing from the NRTL’s scope of recognition). UL revised the language in the proposed policy, in part, as follows:

[W]hen an NRTL seeks the addition of a new standard to its current scope of recognition, the NRTL may submit specified information that demonstrates it has the requisite testing and evaluation capability based on standards in its current scope of recognition. The specified information would include reference to existing standards in the NRTL’s scope that require the capabilities needed for the new standard. If necessary, the NRTL would also identify substantive differences in needed capability between the

new standard and existing test standards in its scope of recognition. The NRTL would provide information about its capabilities for those substantive differences based on the current OSHA NRTL application for scope expansion.

(Id.) According to UL, OSHA should require the application process specified by 29 CFR 1910.7, App. A, only “[f]or the rare situations where an NRTL wishes to expand into new standards where it has little or none of the needed capabilities” (id.).

OSHA is not adopting UL’s revised language. Accordingly, the key aspects of OSHA’s new policy are that OSHA can now initiate the recognition process when it becomes aware of a replacement test standard that is not comparable to a test standard that it is removing from an NRTL’s scope of recognition, and OSHA will inform the NRTL, in the first instance, of substantive differences between the existing and replacement test standards that OSHA believes the NRTL must address for OSHA to recognize the NRTL for the replacement standard. Under UL’s revised language, the NRTL, not OSHA, would initiate the recognition process, and the NRTL, not OSHA, would inform OSHA of its capability to perform the test standard.

UL’s revised language would not, therefore, significantly expedite the recognition process for new test standards because UL’s revised language is substantially similar to the existing application process. Neither OSHA’s new policy, nor UL’s revised language, would permit the NRTL to avoid key procedural requirements of the application process. Indeed, UL agrees, stating in its revised language that “OSHA would follow the procedures specified by 29 CFR 1910.7, App. A, in determining whether it should incorporate the new test standard into the NRTL’s scope of recognition” (id.). UL stated further in its revised language, similar to what OSHA states in its new policy, that “OSHA would issue a preliminary determination in the **Federal Register**, provide the public an opportunity to comment on the preliminary determination, and, after reviewing the comments and other record evidence, issue a final determination in the **Federal Register** on whether it will incorporate the new test standard into the affected NRTL’s scope of recognition,” and “in making a preliminary or final determination, OSHA also would follow other applicable procedures specified by 29 CFR 1910.7, App. A, such as requesting additional information, conducting appropriate on-site reviews, or initiating special reviews” (id.).

<sup>2</sup> The NRTL Program regulation provides that an “appropriate test standard” is “a document which specifies the safety requirements for specific equipment or class of equipment and is: (1) [r]ecognized in the United States as a safety standard providing an adequate level of safety, and (2) [c]ompatible with and maintained current with periodic revisions of applicable national codes and installation standards, and (3) [d]eveloped by a standards developing organization under a method providing for input and consideration of views of industry groups, experts, users, consumers, governmental authorities, and others having broad experience in the safety field involved, or (4) [i]n lieu of paragraphs (c) (1), (2), and (3), the standard is currently designated as an American National Standards Institute (ANSI) safety-designated product standard or an American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) test standard used for evaluation of products or materials” (29 CFR 1910.7(c)).

OSHA notes also that its new policy preserves an NRTL's rights, under existing procedures, to file an application for recognition of new or replacement test standards. Moreover, OSHA currently allows NRTLs, through the existing application process, to provide comparability assessments as an abbreviated method to demonstrate an NRTL's capability to meet the requirements of an appropriate test standard. Using this process, the NRTL provides a detailed explanation of comparability as part of its application for the test standard. Therefore, UL's revised language is unnecessary.

**III. Final Decision Adding Test Standards to the NRTL Program's List of Appropriate Test Standards**

Table 1, below, lists test standards that OSHA is adding to the NRTL Program under the new policy. OSHA preliminarily determined, as specified in the November 25, 2013, **Federal Register** notice (see 78 FR 70349), that these test standards are appropriate test standards and proposed to include these test standards in the NRTL Program's list of appropriate test standards.

No commenter objected to the proposal to include these test standards in the NRTL Program's list of appropriate test standards. UL and NEMA expressed support for the proposal (OSHA-2013-0012-0008, OSHA-2013-0012-0009). OSHA, therefore, with one minor revision discussed in the following paragraph, is making a final determination that these test standards are appropriate test standards, and, therefore, is adding these test standards to the NRTL Program's list of appropriate test standards.

In the proposal, OSHA described one test standard as AAMI ES 60601-1, "Medical Electrical Equipment—Part 1-2: General requirements for Basic Safety and Essential Performance-Collateral Standard: Electromagnetic Compatibility (third edition)." Several commenters stated that OSHA listed the incorrect designation and title for this test standard (OSHA-2013-0012-0006, OSHA-2013-0012-0007, OSHA-2013-0012-0009). OSHA concurs with these commenters and, in the final table, describes the test standard as "ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005/(R)2012, Medical electrical equipment, Part 1:

General requirements for basic safety and essential performance (with amendments)."

Mr. Dale Hallerberg stated that the list of appropriate standards should include, in addition to ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005/(R)2012, standards that are collateral to ANSI/AAMIE ES60601-1:2005/(R)2012, such as ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1-2 through ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1-12 (OSHA-2013-0012-0007). Moreover, UL asked that OSHA consider incorporating 13 additional test standards in the NRTL Program's list of appropriate test standards (OSHA-2013-0012-0009). Finally, the Crane Power Line Safety Organization (CPLSO), in a late-filed comment, asked OSHA to consider incorporating CPLSO 14, which addresses testing crane insulating-link devices, in the NRTL Program's list of appropriate test standards (OSHA-2013-0012-0010). These recommended additions are beyond the scope of the present action. OSHA will, however, consider whether these recommended additions are appropriate test standards when it proposes additions to the list of appropriate test standards in a future **Federal Register** notice.

TABLE 1—TEST STANDARDS OSHA IS ADDING TO THE NRTL PROGRAM'S LIST OF APPROPRIATE TEST STANDARDS

Test standard	Test standard title
ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005/(R)2012 .....	Medical electrical equipment, Part 1: General requirements for basic safety and essential performance (with amendments).
ISA 60079-0 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 0: Equipment—General Requirements.
ISA 60079-1 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 1: Equipment Protection by Flameproof Enclosures "d".
ISA 60079-2 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 2: Equipment Protection by Pressurized Enclosures "p".
ISA 60079-5 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 5: Equipment Protection by Powder Filling "q".
ISA 60079-6 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 6: Equipment Protection by Oil Immersion "o".
ISA 60079-7 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 7: Equipment Protection by Increased Safety "e".
ISA 60079-11 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 11: Equipment Protection by Intrinsic Safety "i".
ISA 60079-15 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 15: Equipment Protection by Type of Protection "n".
ISA 60079-18 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 18: Equipment Protection by Encapsulation "m".
ISA 60079-26 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 26: Equipment for Use in Class I, Zone 0 Hazardous (Classified) Locations.
ISA 60079-28 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 28: Protection of Equipment and Transmission Systems Using Optical Radiation.
ISA 60079-31 .....	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 31: Equipment Dust Ignition Protection by Enclosure "t".
ISA 61241-0 .....	Electrical Apparatus for Use in Zone 20, Zone 21 and Zone 22 Hazardous (Classified) Locations—General Requirements.
ISA 61241-1 .....	Electrical Apparatus for Use in Zone 21 and Zone 22 Hazardous (Classified) Locations—Protection by Enclosures "tD".
ISA 61241-2 .....	Electrical Apparatus for Use in Zone 21 and Zone 22 Hazardous (Classified) Locations—Protection by Pressurization "pD".
ISA 61241-11 .....	Electrical Apparatus for Use in Zone 20, Zone 21 and Zone 22 Hazardous (Classified) Locations—Protection by Intrinsic Safety "iD".
ISA 61241-18 .....	Electrical Apparatus for Use in Zone 20, Zone 21 and Zone 22 Hazardous (Classified) Locations—Protection by Encapsulation "mD".
UL 50E .....	Enclosures for Electrical Equipment, Environmental Considerations.
UL 448B .....	Residential Fire Pumps Intended for One- and Two-Family Dwellings and Manufactured Homes.
UL 448C .....	Stationary, Rotary-Type, Positive-Displacement Pumps for Fire Protection Service.
UL 962A .....	Furniture Power Distribution Units.
UL 1004-1 .....	Rotating Electrical Machines—General Requirements.
UL 1008A .....	Medium-Voltage Transfer Switches.
UL 1691 .....	Single Pole Locking-Type Separable Connectors.
UL 1990 .....	Nonmetallic Underground Conduit with Conductors.
UL 2108 .....	February 27, 2004 Low Voltage Lighting Systems.

TABLE 1—TEST STANDARDS OSHA IS ADDING TO THE NRTL PROGRAM’S LIST OF APPROPRIATE TEST STANDARDS—Continued

Test standard	Test standard title
UL 2208	Solvent Distillation Units.
UL 2238	Cable Assemblies and Fittings for Industrial Control and Signal Distribution.
UL 2239	Hardware for the Support of Conduit, Tubing, and Cable.
UL 2438	Outdoor Seasonal-Use Cord-Connected Wiring Devices.
UL 2560	Emergency Call Systems for Assisted Living and Independent Living Facilities.
UL 2572	Mass Notification Systems.
UL 2577	Suspended Ceiling Grid Low Voltage Systems and Equipment.
UL 2586	Hose Nozzle Valves.
UL 2738	Induction Power Transmitters and Receivers for Use with Low Energy Products.
UL 6142	Small Wind Turbine Systems.
UL 6420	Equipment Used for System Isolation and Rated as a Single Unit.
UL 60079-0	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 0: Equipment—General requirements.
UL 60079-1	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 1: Equipment Protection by Flameproof Enclosures ‘d’.
UL 60079-11	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 11: Equipment Protection by Intrinsic Safety ‘i’.
UL 60079-15	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 15: Equipment Protection by Type of Protection ‘n’.
UL 60079-18	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 18: Equipment Protection by Encapsulation ‘m’.
UL 60079-5	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 5: Equipment Protection by Powder Filling ‘q’.
UL 60079-6	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 6: Equipment Protection by Oil Immersion ‘o’.
UL 60079-7	Explosive Atmospheres—Part 7: Equipment Protection by Increased Safety ‘e’.
UL 60335-2-40	Household and Similar Electrical Appliances, Part 2: Particular Requirements for Electrical Heat Pumps, Air-Conditioners and Dehumidifiers.
UL 60730-2-2	Automatic Electrical Controls for Household and Similar Use; Part 2 Particular Requirements for Thermal Motor Protectors.
UL 60745-1	Hand-Held Motor-Operated Electric Tools—Safety—Part 1: General Requirements.
UL 60745-2-1	Hand-Held Motor-Operated Electric Tools—Safety—Part 2-1: Particular Requirements for Drills and Impact Drills.
UL 60745-2-13	Hand-Held Motor-Operated Electric Tools—Safety—Part 2-13: Particular Requirements For Chain Saws.
UL 60745-2-14	Hand-Held Motor-Operated Electric Tools—Safety—Part 2-14: Particular Requirements for Planers.
UL 60745-2-15	Hand-Held Motor-Operated Electric Tools—Safety—Part 2-15: Particular Requirements for Hedge Trimmers.
UL 60745-2-17	Hand-Held Motor-Operated Electric Tools—Safety—Part 2-17: Particular Requirements for Routers and Trimmers. <sup>1</sup>
UL 60745-2-19	Hand-Held Motor-Operated Electric Tools—Safety—Part 2-19: Particular Requirements For Jointers.
UL 60745-2-21	Hand-Held Motor-Operated Electric Tools—Safety—Part 2-21: Particular Requirements For Drain Cleaners.
UL 60745-2-22	Hand-Held Motor-Operated Electric Tools—Safety—Part 2-22: Particular Requirements For Cut-Off Machines.
UL 60745-2-3	Hand-Held Motor-Operated Electric Tools—Safety—Part 2-3: Particular Requirements for Grinders, Polishers and Disk-Type Sanders.
UL 60745-2-5	Hand-Held Motor-Operated Electric Tools—Safety—Part 2-5: Particular Requirements for Circular Saws.
UL 60947-4-1A	Low Voltage Switchgear and Controlgear—Part 4-1: Contactors and Motor-starters—Electromechanical Contactors and Motor-starters.
UL 60947-5-2	Low-voltage Switchgear and Controlgear—Part 5-2: Control Circuit Devices and Switching Elements—Proximity Switches.
UL 60950-1	Information Technology Equipment Safety—Part 1: General Requirements.
UL 60950-21	Information Technology Equipment—Safety—Part 21: Remote Power Feeding.
UL 60950-22	Information Technology Equipment Safety—Part 22: Equipment to be Installed Outdoors.
UL 60950-23	Information Technology Equipment Safety—Part 23: Large Data Storage Equipment.
UL 61010-031	Electrical Equipment for Measurement, Control, and Laboratory Use; Part 031: Safety Requirements for Hand-Held Probe Assemblies for Electrical Measurement and Test.
UL 61010-1	Electrical Equipment for Measurement, Control, and Laboratory Use; Part 1: General Requirements.
UL 61010-2-030	Safety Requirements for Electrical Equipment for Measurement, Control, and Laboratory Use—Part 2-030: Particular Requirements for Testing and Measuring Circuits.
UL 61058-1	Switches for Appliances—Part 1: General Requirements.
UL 61800-5-1	Adjustable Speed Electrical Power Drive Systems—Part 5-1: Safety Requirements—Electrical, Thermal and Energy.
UL 62275	Cable Management Systems—Cable Ties for Electrical Installations.
UL 62368-1	Audio/Video, Information and Communication Technology Equipment—Part 1: Safety Requirements.

**VI. Authority and Signature**  
 David Michaels, Ph.D., MPH,  
 Assistant Secretary of Labor for

Occupational Safety and Health, 200  
 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington,  
 DC 20210, authorized the preparation of

this notice. Accordingly, the Agency is  
 issuing this notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C.  
 657(g)(2)), Secretary of Labor’s Order

No. 1–2012 (77 FR 3912, Jan. 25, 2012), and 29 CFR 1910.7.

Signed at Washington, DC, on March 24, 2014.

**David Michaels,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. 2014–06807 Filed 3–26–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–26–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of Workers' Compensation Programs

#### Proposed Extension of the Approval of Information Collection Requirements

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs is soliciting comments concerning the proposed collection: Rehabilitation Plan and Award (OWCP–16). A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this Notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before May 27, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Ms. Yoon Ferguson, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave. NW., Room S–3201, Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693–0701, fax (202) 693–1449, Email [ferguson.yoon@dol.gov](mailto:ferguson.yoon@dol.gov). Please use only one method of transmission for comments (mail, fax, or Email).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

The Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP) is the agency responsible for administration of the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act (LHWCA), 33 U.S.C. 901 *et seq.*, and the Federal Employees'

Compensation Act (FECA), 5 U.S.C. 8101 *et seq.* Both of these acts authorize OWCP to pay for approved vocational rehabilitation services to eligible workers with work-related disabilities. In order to decide whether to approve a rehabilitation plan, OWCP must receive a copy of the plan, supporting vocational testing materials and the estimated cost to implement the plan, broken down to show the fees, supplies, tuition and worker maintenance payments that are contemplated. OWCP also must receive the signature of the rehabilitation counselor to show that the proposed plan is appropriate. Form OWCP–16 is the standard format for the collection of this information. The regulations implementing these statutes allow for the collection of information needed for OWCP to determine if a rehabilitation plan should be approved and payment of any related expenses should be authorized. This information collection is currently approved for use through July 31, 2014.

##### **II. Review Focus**

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

##### **III. Current Actions**

The Department of Labor seeks approval for the extension of this currently approved information collection in order to determine if a rehabilitation plan should be approved and payment of any related expenses authorized.

*Type of Review:* Extension.  
*Agency:* Office of Workers' Compensation Programs.  
*Title:* Rehabilitation Plan and Award.  
*OMB Number:* 1240–0045.  
*Agency Number:* OWCP–16.

*Affected Public:* Individual or households; businesses or other for-profit.

*Total Respondents:* 4,590.  
*Total Responses:* 4,590.  
*Time per Response:* 30 minutes.  
*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 2,295.  
*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 20, 2014.

**Yoon Ferguson,**

*Agency Clearance Officer, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, U.S. Department of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 2014–06751 Filed 3–26–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–CR–P**

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice: (14–033)]

### NASA Advisory Council; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a meeting of the NASA Advisory Council (NAC).

**DATES:** Wednesday, April 16, 2014, 9:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m., Local Time; Thursday, April 17, 2014, 9:00 a.m.–12:30 p.m., Local Time.

**ADDRESSES:** NASA Headquarters, Room 9H40, Program Review Center (PRC), 300 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20456.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Marla King, NAC Administrative Officer, NASA Headquarters, Washington, DC 20546, 202–358–1148.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The agenda for the meeting will include the following:

- Aeronautics Committee Report
- Human Exploration and Operations Committee Report
- Science Committee Report
- Technology and Innovation Committee Report

The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room. This meeting is also available telephonically and by WebEx. You must