

Limonene



September 1994

Earl D. Stewart

Organic Service Branch II OSHA Salt Lake Technical Center Salt Lake City, UT 84115-1802

### 1 General Discussion

### 1.1 Background

### 1.1.1 History

This evaluation was undertaken to establish a suitable sampling and analytical procedure for limonene. The report describes the analytical method developed for sampling and analysis. (Ref. 5.1)

1.1.2 Toxic effects (This section is for information only and should not be taken as the basis of OSHA policy.)

LD $_{50}$  is 5 g/kg for a rat and 5.6-6.6 g/kg for a mouse.  $\,$  Local effects include irritation to the eyes, skin, and respiratory tract. Acute exposure may cause sore throat, coughing, shortness of breath, dizziness, and nausea. Chronic exposure data is not available. A Limonene is moderately toxic by ingestion. Poisoning may affect the kidneys. The oral target concentration of 100 ppm was chosen because of the above information and data from similar analytes. (Ref. 5.2)

# 1.1.3 Workplace Exposure

Limonene is used as a solvent, wetting and dispensing agent. It is also used in the manufacture of resins, flavoring, fragrance, and perfume materials. No information was available on the number of workers potentially exposed. (Ref. 5.3)

1.1.4 Physical properties and other descriptive information. (Ref. 5.2)



Structural formula

 $CH<sub>3</sub>$ 

 analytical parameters of 10 liters and a desorption volume of 1 mL. Air concentrations listed in ppm are The analyte air concentrations throughout this method are based on the recommended sampling and referenced to 25 °C and 101.3 kPa (760 mmHg).

#### 1.2 Limit defining parameters

1.2.1 Detection limit of the overall procedure (DLOP)

mg/m<sup>3</sup>). This is the amount of analyte spiked on the sampler that will give a response The detection limit of the overall procedure is 1.3 µg per sample (0.02 ppm or 0.13 that is significantly different from the background response of a sampler blank.

The DLOP is defined as the concentration of analyte that gives a response  $(Y_{D\text{LOP}})$  that is significantly different (three standard deviations  $(SD_{BR})$ ) from the background  $response(Y_{BR})$ .

$$
Y_{\text{DLOP}} - Y_{\text{BR}} = 3(SD_{\text{BR}})
$$

 whose responses are in the vicinity of the background response. The regression curve obtained for a plot of instrument response versus concentration of analyte will usually The direct measurement of  $Y_{BR}$  and  $S_{DBR}$  in chromatographic methods is typically inconvenient and difficult because  $Y_{BR}$  is usually extremely low. Estimates of these parameters can be made with data obtained from the analysis of a series of samples be linear. Assuming  $SD_{BR}$  and the precision of data about the curve are similar, the standard error of estimate (SEE) for the regression curve can be substituted for  $SD_{BR}$  in the above equation. The following calculations derive a formula for the DLOP:

$$
SEE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (Y_{obs} - Y_{est})^2}{(n - k)}}
$$

 $Y_{obs}$  = observed response

 $Y_{est}$  = estimated response from regression curve

 $n =$  total number of data points

 $k = 2$  for a linear regression curve

At point Y<sub>DLOP</sub> on the regression curve

$$
Y_{\text{DLOP}} = A(\text{DLOP}) + Y_{\text{BR}}
$$

 $A =$  analytical sensitivity (slope)

Therefore:

$$
DLOP = \frac{V_{DLOP} - V_{BR}}{A}
$$

Substituting  $3(SEE) + Y_{BR}$  for  $Y_{DLOP}$  gives

$$
DLOP = \frac{3(SEE)}{A}
$$

 concentrations, based on the recommended sampling parameters. Ten samplers were recommended analytical parameters, and the data obtained used to calculate the required parameters (A and SEE) for the calculation of the DLOP. Values of 74.3 and The DLOP is measured as mass per sample and expressed as equivalent air spiked with equal descending increments of analyte, such that the highest sampler loading was 12.072 µg/sample. This is the amount, when spiked on a sampler, that would produce a peak approximately 10 times the background response of a sample blank. These spiked samplers, and the sample blank were analyzed with the 33.1 were obtained for A and SEE respectively. DLOP was calculated to be 1.3  $\mu$ g/sample (0.02 ppm or 0.13 mg/m<sup>3</sup>).

Detection Limit of Overall Procedure			
area counts $(\mu V - s)$			
0			
0			
134			
292			
375			
463			
548			
606			
678			
796			
836			

Table 1.2.1 Detection Limit of Overall Procedure



Figure 1.2.1. Plot of data to determine DLOP and RQL.

### 1.2.2 Reliable quantitation limit (RQL)

The reliable quantitation limit is 4.4 µg per sample  $(0.08 \text{ ppm}) (0.44 \text{ mg/m}^3)$ . This is the amount of analyte spiked on a sampler that will give a signal that is considered the lower limit for precise quantitative measurements.

The RQL is considered the lower limit for precise quantitative measurements. It is determined from the regression line data obtained for the calculation of the DLOP (Section 1.2.1), providing at least 75% of the analyte is recovered. In this study, the recovery was 100%. The RQL is defined as the concentration of analyte that gives a response (YRQL) such that

$$
Y_{RQL} - Y_{BR} = 10(SD_{BR})
$$

Therefore:

$$
RQL = \frac{10(SEE)}{A}
$$



Figure 1.2.2. Chromatogram of the RQL.

2 Sampling Procedure

#### 2.1 Apparatus

- 2.1.1 Samples are collected using a personal sampling pump, calibrated with the sampling device attached, to within ±5% of the recommended flow rate.
- 2.1.2 Samples are collected with 7-cm x 4-mm i.d. glass sampling tubes packed with two sections of coconut shell charcoal. The front section contains 100 mg and the back section contains 50 mg coconut shell charcoal. The sections are held in place with glass wool plugs. For this evaluation, tubes were purchased from SKC, Inc.

#### 2.2 Technique

- 2.2.1 Immediately before sampling, break off the ends of the sampling tube. All tubes should be from the same lot.
- 2.2.2 Attach the small end of the sampling tube to the pump with flexible tubing. It is desirable to utilize sampling tube holders which have a protective cover to shield the

employee from the sharp, jagged end of the sampling tube. Position the tube so that sampled air passes through the front section of the tube first.

- 2.2.3 Air being sampled should not pass through any hose or tubing before entering the sampling tube.
- the workers breathing zone, and positioned so it does not impede work performance or 2.2.4 Attach the sampler vertically with the reference, larger, section pointing downward, in safety.
- 2.2.5 After sampling for the appropriate time, remove the sample and seal the tube with plastic end caps. Wrap each sample end-to-end with a Form OSHA-21 seal.
- in the same manner as the other samples except draw no air through it. 2.2.6 Submit at least one blank sample with each set of samples. Handle the blank sampler
- 2.2.7 Record sample volumes (in liters of air) for each sample, along with any potential interference.
- 2.2.8 Ship any bulk samples in separate containers from the air samples.
- 2.2.9 Submit the samples to the laboratory for analysis as soon as possible after sampling. If delay is unavoidable, store samples in a refrigerator.
- 2.3 Desorption efficiency

 six charcoal tubes with 557, 3092, 6200, and 12612 µg. These amounts represent 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 times the target concentration respectively. These samples were stored overnight at The desorption efficiencies (DE) of limonene were determined by liquid-spiking four groups of ambient temperature, then desorbed with 1 mL of  $CS<sub>2</sub>$  for 1 hour, and then analyzed. The overall average desorption efficiency over the studied range was 97.3%.



overall average = 97.3% standard deviation =  $±2.9$ 

## 2.4 Retention efficiency

 drawn through them at 0.2 Lpm. They were desorbed and analyzed by GC-FID. The retention The sampling tubes were spiked with 12.612 mg  $(226.4 \text{ ppm or } 1261 \text{ mg/m}^3)$  limonene, allowed to equilibrate overnight at room temperature, and then had 10 L of humid air (80% RH at 25 °C) efficiency averaged 92.7%. There was a small amount of limonene found in one backup section.



### 2.5 Sample storage

 limonene. After 6 hours of equilibration, 10 liters of humid air (80% RH at 24 °C) was drawn through them. Six samples were sealed and stored at room temperature and six samples were The front sections of twelve sampling tubes were each spiked with 6200 µg (111.3 ppm) of sealed and stored in the refrigerator at 0 °C. Three samples stored at ambient temperature and three refrigerated samples were analyzed after 7 days. The remaining 3 samples of each group were analyzed after 14 days. The amounts recovered indicate good storage stability for the time period studied.

refrigerated storage ambient storage % % time time (days) (days) recovery recovery 99.9 105.4 7 7 99.5 7 106.6 7 95.0 106.4 7 7 101.2 14 105.3 14 103.3 14 14 104.7 101.1 100.0 14 14 99.3 104.7 average average	Storage Test for Limonene					

Table 2.5 Storage Test for Limonene

- 2.6 Recommended air volume and sampling rate.
	- 2.6.1 The recommended air volume is 10 L.
	- 2.6.2 The recommended sampling rate is 0.2 L/min.
- 2.7 Interferences (sampling)
	- on charcoal. In general, the presence of other contaminant vapors in the air will reduce 2.7.1 It is not known if any compounds will severely interfere with the collection of limonene the capacity of the sampling tube to collect limonene.
	- 2.7.2 Any suspected interferences should be reported to the laboratory with submitted samples.
- 2.8 Safety precautions (sampling)
	- 2.8.1 Attach the sampling equipment to the worker in such a manner that it will not interfere with work performance or safety.
	- 2.8.2 Follow all safety practices that apply to the work area being sampled.
	- 2.8.3 Wear eye protection when breaking the ends of glass sampling tubes.
- 3 Analytical Procedure
	- 3.1 Apparatus
		- 3.1.1 A gas chromatograph equipped with an FID. A Hewlett Packard (HP) model 5890 was used in this evaluation.
		- 3.1.2 A GC column capable of separating the analyte from any interference. The column used in this study was a 60-m  $\times$  0.32-mm i.d., (0.5-µm df DB-1) capillary.
		- 3.1.3 An electronic integrator or some other suitable method of measuring peak areas. A Waters 860 data system was used in this evaluation.
		- 3.1.4 Two milliliter vials with PTFE lined caps.
		- 3.1.5 A 1-µL syringe or other convenient size for sample injection.
		- 3.1.6 Pipets for dispensing the desorbing solution. A dispenser may be used.
		- 3.1.7 Volumetric flasks (10-mL and other convenient sizes) for preparing standards.

#### 3.2 Reagents

- 3.2.1 GC grade nitrogen, hydrogen, and air.
- 3.2.2 Limonene. A 100% pure standard obtained from ICN K&K Laboratories was used in this evaluation.
- 3.2.3 Carbon Disulfide, Omnisolve grade, obtained from EM Science.
- 3.2.4 p-Cymene. p-Cymene was purchased from Aldrich Chemical.
- 3.2.5 The desorbing solution is carbon disulfide with  $0.25 \mu g/mL$  p-cymene used as an internal standard.
- 3.3 Standard preparation
	- 3.3.1 At least two separate stock standards are prepared by diluting a known quantity of limonene with the desorbing solution.
	- 3.3.2 A third analytical standard was prepared at a higher concentration to check the linearity of the detector response to the limonene.
- 3.4 Sample preparation
	- 3.4.1 Sample tubes are opened and the front and the back section of each tube are placed in separate 2-mL vials.
- 3.4.2 Each section is desorbed with 1 mL of the desorbing solution.
- 3.4.3 The vials are sealed immediately and allowed to desorb for 60 minutes with intermittent shaking.

#### 3.5 Analysis

3.5.1 Gas chromatograph conditions.

Injection size:	$1\mu$ L	
<b>Flow rates</b>	(mL/min)	
Air: Hydrogen (carrier): Hydrogen (detector): Nitrogen (make up):	400 1.5 30 30	
<b>Temperatures</b>	(°C)	
Injector: Detector: Column:	180 220 110-160	
<b>Retention times</b>	(min)	
ISTD: Limonene:	24.7 25.6	
1. Carbon disulfide 2. p-Cymene 3. Limonene		
Response (mV) 20 10		
	<b>Retention time (min)</b>	20

Figure 3.5.1. Chromatogram at the PEL.

- 3.5.2 Peak areas are measured by an integrator or other suitable means.
- 3.6 Interferences (analytical)
	- is a potential interference. If any potential interference was reported, it should be 3.6.1 Any compound that produces a response and has a similar retention time as the analyte

be altered to separate interferences from the analyte. considered before samples are desorbed. Generally, chromatographic conditions can

- or by another analytical procedure. 3.6.2 When necessary, the identity of an analyte may be confirmed by GC-Mass spectrometry
- 3.7 Calculations
	- 3.7.1 Construct a calibration curve by plotting detector response versus concentration (µg/mL) of limonene.
	- section of the samples and blank. 3.7.2 Determine from the calibration curve the concentration ( $\mu$ g/mL) of limonene on each
	- 3.7.3 Blank correct each sample by subtracting the concentration  $(\mu g/mL)$  found in each section of the blank from the concentration ( $\mu q/mL$ ) found in the corresponding sections of the samples. Add the results together for the total concentration  $(\mu g/mL)$  for each sample.
	- 3.7.4 Determine the air concentration using the following formula.

$$
mg / m3 = \frac{(\mu g / mL, blank corrected)(desorption volume, mL)}{(\text{air volume}, L)(desorption efficiency, decimal)}
$$

Since:

$$
(ppm)(MW) = (mg/m^3)(24.46)
$$

Then:

$$
ppm = \frac{(mg/m^3)(24.46)}{136.23}
$$

Where:

24.46 = Molar volume (liters/mole) at 25 °C and 760 mmHg 136.23 = molecular weight of limonene.

- 3.8 Safety precautions
	- 3.8.1 Avoid skin contact and inhalation of all chemicals.
	- 3.8.2 Wear safety glasses, gloves and a lab coat at all times while in the laboratory areas.
- 4 Recommendations for Further Study

Collection studies need to be performed from a dynamically generated test atmosphere.

## 5 References

- Liquid Chromatographic Analysis, Analyst, Vol. 114, No. 1, p. 113-l14. 5.1 Searle, E., Determination of Airborne Limonene Vapour by Charcoal Tube Sampling and Gas-
- 5.2 Occupation Health Services, Material Safety Data Sheets, New York, N.Y., 10036, Revised, 12-23-93.
- 5.3 Budavari S., "Merck Index," Eleventh Edition, Merck and Co., Rahway N.J., 1989, p. 865.